USD#

273

USD Form 150 2017-2018 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget – Lines 1 through 21

1. 2017-18 Adjusted FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at-risk.) (from Table I or Table IV)	=	775.0
2. Estimated 9-20-2017 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (f) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 14.0 + 0.0	=_	14.0
3. 2017-18 Total Adjusted FTE Enrollment including 4 yr old at risk (Line 1 + Line 2)	=_	789.0
4. Estimated 9-20-2017 weighted low enrollment and high enrollment. (from line 3) 789.0 x 0.318049 factor (from Table II)	=_	250.9
5. Estimated 2017-18 Bilingual Weighting (a) (b)A. (9/20/17 Contact Hrs $3.0 + 2/20/18$ Contact Hrs 0.0) / 6 x 0.395= 0.2 B. (9/20/17 ELL Headcount $14 + 2/20/18$ ELL Hdct 0) x .185= 2.6 Note: Bilingual weighting is based on the higher of contact hours or headcount.	=_	2.6
6. Estimated 2017-18 weighted Career Technical Education (CTE) weighting (c) (9/20/17 CTE contact hrs 180.0 + 2/20/18 contact hrs 0.0) / 6 x 0.5	=_	15.0
7. Estimated 2017-18 At-Risk Student weighting (d) 0 x 10% = A. 9/20/17 Hdct 00 + 2/20/18 Hdct 0 x 10% = B. 9/20/17 Free Lunch 200 + 2/20/18 Free Lunch 0 =	80 200 =_	96.8
8. Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weighting (from Table VI, Line 2)	=	0.0
9. Estimated 2017-18 School Facilities Weighting (e) 9/20/17 School Facilities FTE	=_	0.0
10. Estimated 2017-18 Transportation Weighting (Table III, Line 6) 203,771 ÷ \$4,006	=_	50.9
11. Estimated 2017-18 Ancillary School Facilities Weighting. Amt approved by Board of Tax Appeals. 0 ÷ \$4,006	=_	0.0
12. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (g) 1,110,589 ÷ \$4,006	=_	277.2
13. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amount approved by Board of Tax Appeals.	\$4,006 =	0.0
14. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment	=	1.0
15. Estimated 2017-18 Virtual State Aid (Table V, Line 4)	=_	\$0
16. Estimated 2017-2018 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 14 times BASE + Line 15) 1,483.4 x \$4,006	+ 0 =	\$5,942,500
17. Estimated Cost of Living weighting (Must have 31% LOB) \$0 \$0 (maximum allowed for this district) (Amt district will use, up to the maxim	= num)	0.0
18. Total 2017-2018 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 1,483.4 x \$4,006	+ 0 =	\$5,942,500
19. 2017-18 Extraordinary Need State Aid (General Fund)	=_	\$0
20. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 18 + Line 19)	=_	\$5,942,500
Local Option Budget See Form 155		
21. Estimated 2017-2018 LOB General Fund budget (excludes Virtual & FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current yr S	3pec Ed)	A a a a a a a a a a a

(Lines 3 through 11 + 13 + 17) = $1205.2 \times 4490 = $5411348 + 1,110,589$ (Spec Ed) = \$6,521,937

	TABLE	I - Declining Enrollment Ca	Iculation	USD#	273	
1. September 20, 2016, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk stud	lents; excludes Kindergarten	.)		=	704.2
2. September 20, 2015, FTE enrollment (•		.)		=	717.0
3. FTE adjusted enrollment for budget put					=	717.0
4. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including	g Kindergarten FTE to fund a	as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line	1 if no military provision; s	ee Table IV.)	=	775.0
Enrollment of District	TABLE II -	Low and High Enrollment	Weighting Factor			
0 - 99.9			1.014331			
100 - 299.9 300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over			655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1 500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504			
'E' is 2017-2018 Adjusted FTE Enrollment	(from Page 1, line 3)					
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0)						
{[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]+3642.4}- {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]+3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]+3642.4}-1 {4597.675+3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991	1					
	TABL	E III - Transportation Weig	nting			
1. Area of district in square miles 9-20-20	17				=	565.0
2. All public pupils transported or for whor who reside in the district 2.5 miles or m		de available 9-20-2017	130.0 + 2-20-18	0.0	=	130.0
3. Index of density = Line 2			130.0 divided by Line 1	565.0	=	0.23
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine	ine transportation weighting	factor.			=	0.2988
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transporta		esident students over	0.2988 factor (Line 4) (to L	ine 10 Page 1)	_	38.8
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6. Take higher of 2017-18 Trans. State Ai		or 2016-17 Trans. State A		to Line 10, Page 1)	=	203,771
1. Does the district qualify for the Military		 - 2017 Senate Bill 19 Militar Ilment)? 	y Provision NO	USD#	273	
2. 2014-15 Audited FTE enrollment (exclu	udes 4 yr old at-risk, Kinderg	arten and Virtual)			=	702.4
 Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE of new stude (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kinderga If it doesn't meet criteria then calculate: 	rten) (Must be at least 25 FT			0.0	=	0.0
4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enrollment (exclu	ides 4 yr old at-risk, Kinderg	arten and Virtual)			=	717.0
 Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE of new stude (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kinderga If it doesn't meet criteria then calculate: 	rten) (Must be at least 25 FT			0.0	=	0.0
6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enrollment (exclu	,	arten and Virtual)			=	704.2
 Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE of new stude (Excludes 4 yr old at risk and Kinderga If it doesn't meet criteria then calculate: 	rten) (Must be at least 25 FT			0.0	=	0.0
8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrollment plus 2/	,	vr old at risk. Kindergarten a	nd virtual.)		=	702.4
9. Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrollment plus 2/2		,			=	717.0
10. Sept. 20, 2016, FTE enrollment plus 2					=	704.2
11. 3 YR AVG FTE*: (702.4	+	717.0	+			
(line 8) 704.2)/3=	(line 9) 707.9			=	0.0
(line 10)) / _	(goes to line 11)	alify for the Militory Drevies	on that year		
* Excludes 4 yr old at risk, Kindergarter				un mai year.		747 0
12. 2017-18 FTE adjusted enrollment for			-		=	717.0
	58 + 2/20/17 Kindergarten		0		=	58
14. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including	ng Kindergarten FTE to fund		e 1 if eligible for military pro	vision.)	=	775.0

TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72	USD#	273		
1. Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for full-time students enrolled in virtual programs.	0.0 X	\$5,000	=	0
2. Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for part-time students enrolled in virtual programs.	0.0 X	\$1,700	=	0
3. Estimated Virtual Credits* (19 years and older).	0.00 X	\$709	=	0
4. Estimated Virtual State Aid			=	\$0

*No student shall be counted for more than 6 credits per year.

"Virtual School" means any school or educational program that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning technologies which predominately use internet-based methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in separate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic progress toward the next grade level and matriculation from kindergarten through high school graduation; (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.

TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Cal	culation	USD#	273
 Estimated 2017-18 Free Lunch Percentage (1B divided by 1A) 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Headcount (from Open page) 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Free Lunch Headcount (from Open page) 	= =_	<u>800</u> 200	= <u>25.00</u> %
 2. Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weighting (higher of 2A or 2B) (goes to Pa A. USD Level (i or ii) High-Density At-Risk >= 50% (1B times 10.5%) High-Density At-Risk >= 35% and < 50% (1B times (#1 minus 35%) times .7) 	age 1, Line 8) = =	0.0	=0.0
B. SCHOOL Level ***Enter building enrollment on HD-AR_BLDG worksheet***	=	0.0	

Page 1 footnotes:

(a)	Weighted FTE enrollment is	computed by taking the total clock hou	s of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an
	approved bilingual class on 9	-20-2017 and dividing by 6 (cannot exc	eed 6 hours for an individual student). Total
	clock hours	<u>3.0</u> ÷ 6 x 0.395 =	0.1975 (Record on Line 5)
	_		
(b)	FTE is computed by taking t	he total headcount of bilingual students	who are enrolled and attending in an

	g the total headboart of biningdal students wh	o are enrolled and allending in an
approved bilingual class on	9-20-2017 and multiplying by factor of 0.185	i. Total
headcount	<u>14</u> x 0.185 =	2.5900 (Record on Line 5)

- (c) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational education students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2017 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total 30.0000 (Record on Line 6) 180.0 ÷ 6 = clock hours
- (d) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school district. The "adjusted free lunch" for districts guarantees the higher of 10% of their 9/20 plus 2/20 enrollment or 9/20 plus 2/20 free lunch headcount.
- (e) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB. Only eligible to schools that a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.
- (f) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 FTE. USD must be approved by the Kansas State Department of Education.
- (g) Comes from form 118 (line 20).

(NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the following Monday will be the official count date.)

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

e) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2014-15 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 or USD 475.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new classroom facility will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	Headcount	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for example:		281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4,006 = \$282,022

Example #2: (For new additions)

students in each new classroom umber of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =	
New classroom B = $\frac{154}{133}$ studer	nts for the day nts for the day nts for the day nts for the day
divide by7 class =73.3 FTE	periods

Weighting for above example: 73.3 X 0.25 = 18.3 X \$4,006 = \$73,310

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.

Qualifying for Military Provision for Declining Enrollment (Goes to Table IV)

1. Did the district receive Federal Impact Aid?	= NO
2. Did the district have a military dependent student enrolled during the 2016-17 school year?	= NO
3. Did the district decline in enrollment for 2016-17 school year compared to the 2015-16 school year?	= YES
Qualifying for Military Provision for 2/20 weightings	

Is the 2/20/18 Est. FTE Enrollment	0.0	>=25 or 1% of the 9/20/17 Est. FTE Enrollment	785.0	=	NO